Paul Hellyer  
*Former National Defense Minister of Canada*

“. . . when the news came out I had to wonder. Why did airplanes fly around for an hour and a half without interceptors being scrambled from Andrews? Why did the President just sit in the schoolroom when he heard the news? I would like to see a much tougher more in-depth inquiry.”

Ed Asner  
*Actor/Activist*

“The 9/11 truth movement is the most pressing issue of the peace & justice movement today. 9/11 has been used to justify “endless war” and a continual rollback in civil liberties, yet remains the least examined tragedy in modern American history. Join peace & justice groups nationwide becoming involved with www.911Visibility.org

Jim Hightower  
*National Radio Program*

“I strongly support Ed Asner, Howard Zinn, Medea Benjamin, Kevin Danaher, and the US Green Party in their call for a new, full and fearless investigation into the events of September 11th.”

Howard Zinn  

“. . . were these tragedies simply the result of unprecedented failures and incompetence as the government maintains, or were there elements of foreknowledge and implicit welcome involved?”

National Green Party, U.S.  

“The question is whether the Bush Administration and Congress failed to prevent 9/11 out of gross incompetency or because they were looking for an excuse to go to war, seize control of Middle East oil, and implement their plan for global American empire.”

Max Cleland  

*9/11 Commissioner (original)*

Referring to Bush’s efforts to obstruct the 9/11 Commission’s access to critical documents, “disgusting . . . a scam. Americans are being scammed.” Cleland on CNN, Nov. 13, 2002

Dr. Robert Bowman  

*United States Air Force (Retired), Archbishop, United Catholic Church*

“We simply cannot ignore the fact that elements of the US government at the highest levels may have committed treason and mass murder by purposely allowing 9/11 to happen.”

Meade Benjamin  

*United for Peace & Justice (past organizer); CodePink: Women for Peace, and Global Exchange Co-Founder*

“There are many ways to promote peace and justice in our world, but . . . step back and look at how it all began . . . Please join with 9/11 family members and support groups like 911truth.org to advance this cause and get involved.”

Daniel Ellsberg  

*Pentagon Papers Whistleblower*  

“John Ashcroft may well sleep eventually in the same cell as John Mitchell . . . (his obstruction of 9/11 testimony is) “clearly intended to keep her (FBI translator, Sibel Edmunds) from bringing out in public information that could lead . . . to criminal indictments and possible convictions of major political figures.”

Dr. Kevin Danaher  

*Global Exchange Co-Founder*

“the official story is a big lie . . . support groups such as 911truth.org and 911visibility.org” in their just struggle for truth.”

Michael Meacher  

*U.K. Environmental Minister (former) and current MP*

“This war on terrorism is bogus. The 9/11 attacks gave the US an ideal pretext to use force to secure its global domination . . .”

Dr. John Gray  

*Best Selling Author*

#1 best selling author of the acclaimed “Men are from Mars, Women are from Venus,” appearing with 9/11 widow, Ellen Mariani, who is suing Bush et al under the RICO Act.

Dr. Paul Lannoyer  

*European Union Parliamentarian*

“I implore legislators, parliamentarians, and citizens worldwide to become involved in the global 9/11 Truth Movement by signing up at 911truth.org and 911Visibility.org.”

Philip J. Berg  

*(Former) Deputy Attorney General of Pennsylvania*

“We’re suing members of the Bush Administration under the RICO Racketeering Act for foreknowledge of the 9/11 attacks, willfully allowing them to occur for political gain, and engaging in criminal obstruction of 9/11 investigations.”
FRANK SERPICO
Former NYPD Detective
(played by Al Pacino in the movie about his life, “SERPICO”)
“On 9/11 the most powerful nation in the world was brought to it’s knees . . . by a handful of rouges with 99 cent box cutters . . . there is something very foul smelling about that. I believe in time the truth will come out.”

ANDREAS VON BUELOW
National Defense Ministry (Retired)
Secretary of Germany
“ . . . the official version (of 9/11) - it’s not credible at all, it’s totally incredible. The second solution for me is a covert operation . . . a way to brainwash the American people into long, long, ongoing conflict with the Muslim world . . . and all that you get, for example the oil, the last oil reserves which we need for the next decades before the oil age is going out.”

GORE VIDAL
“I’m still asking who, what, why? In a normal country the president would’ve had the Congress, Military, Police investigate. This is no longer a normal country. Bush asked the Congress NOT to investigate 9/11.”

CATHERINE AUSTIN FITTS
Bush I Administration
Assistant Secretary of Federal Housing Commissioner
(See enclosed letter for comments)

SIBEL EDMUNDS
Former FBI translator
and 9/11 whistleblower
On June 14, CODEPINK Women for Peace presented Sibel Edmunds, FBI whistleblower, our CODEPINK Badge of Courage in front of the US District Court for the District of Columbia –

“For over two years Attorney General John Ashcroft has been relentlessly engaged in covering up my reports and investigations into my allegations. He has asserted the rarely invoked State Secret Privilege in my court proceedings, and has used it to quash a subpoena request for my deposition from attorneys representing 9/11 family members on information regarding 9/11 . . . Ashcroft is fully aware that making this information public will bring about the question of accountability, will expose serious criminal activities, and his complicity in covering up.”

- Sibel D. Edmunds
(911Truth.org thanks CODEPINK for their courage in honoring Sibel Edmunds www.codepink4peace.org/)


ZAHN: And to help us take a closer look now at what went so horribly wrong on 9/11, we are joined by investigative journalist Peter Lance who testified before the commission just last month – his latest book is “1000 Years for Revenge” . . .

. . . ZAHN: Well, are you accusing the (9/11) commission of intentionally leaving information out because it’s too tough for the American public to accept?

LANCE: Whether it’s intent or negligence, the effect is the same. The public is only getting part of the picture.
June 11, 2004

Dear Peace and Justice Organizers,

There are many ways to promote peace and justice in our world, but when we face an immediate conflict, indeed a promised “war without end,” it first helps to step back and look at how it all began. Our current “War on (some) Terror” was birthed, if not conceived, on September 11, 2001. That day was a terrible funeral for many, but a Golden opportunity for some.

Only a year before, the *Project for a New American Century*, a think tank for the neocon crowd that now controls the White House and the Pentagon, published a martial wish list entitled “Rebuilding America's Defenses.” Their report outlined our “urgent need” to inflate defense spending, control Mideast oil, deploy exotic new weapons, and reorganize our forces to fight and win several simultaneous wars. Their stated goal was full spectrum global dominance, a “Pax Americana,” but they grudged that such a transformation would take forever “absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event, like a new Pearl Harbor.”

Consider then all the ignored warnings, quashed investigations and missing air defenses that preceded 9/11 and gave them this golden opportunity. And post 9/11 consider Condi Rice’s chilling remarks: “Now, we have an opportunity and an obligation to move forward together. Bold and comprehensive changes are sometimes only possible in the wake of catastrophic events... Just as World War II led to a fundamental reorganization of our national defense structure, so has September 11th made possible sweeping changes in the ways we protect our homeland.”

Besides pre-emptive wars, September 11th also “made possible sweeping changes” in the ways the government has stifled our freedoms, enriched corporate backers, attacked the environment, and shred the social safety net. All these current onslaughts draw their strength from 9/11 and the public’s fearful ignorance of what happened on that day.

The truth is we still don't know why we were left so utterly defenseless on 9/11. But before that tragedy is used to justify the death of one more soldier, one more civil liberty, or one more law protecting the environment or the poor, hard questions must be asked. The victim family groups on 9/11 Family Steering Committee, which campaigned furiously for the creation of the 9/11 Commission, have grown increasingly frustrated with what they now see as a cover-up. They are therefore calling for a new, truly independent inquiry – one that is not run by DC insiders with long ties to those they are supposed to probe; one that will finally answer the questions they have been asking since day one.

Please join with 9/11 family members and support groups like www.911truth.org to advance this cause and get involved.

In peace,

*Medea Benjamin*
Co-founder, CodePink: *Women for Peace and Global Exchange*
Mon., 26 Apr 2004
Dear Peace and Justice Leader(s),

I am Ed Asner. As you may know, I’ve dedicated much of my life to promoting peace and justice issues in America.

I would like to suggest to you emphatically that the 9/11 truth movement is the most pressing issue of the peace and justice movement today. Here is why: 9/11 has been used to justify “endless war” and a continual rollback in civil liberties that seems to have no end in sight. Yet, 9/11 remains the least examined tragedy in modern American history.

Americans would have never agreed to the last two wars and the Patriot Act’s disturbing policy . . . had it not been for 9/11.

There are many disturbing issues around 9/11 that have yet to be examined in any meaningful way by our media, Congress, and even by the 9/11 Commission. These include accountability for the massive breakdown of air defense and plane intercept procedures as described in FAA and DOD regulations, which were violated on 9/11 . . . This breakdown and astounding unpreparedness by U.S. domestic defense agencies is puzzling to say the least, given the detailed reports our government had of the coming attacks, that were bizarrely suppressed by key officials.

For example, FBI Agent Coleen Rowley suggested that her offices’ attempts to alert higher ups in the FBI of the pre 9/11 activities of Zacharias Moussaoui “seemed to have been consistently, almost DELIBERATELY thwart(ed) . . .” While George Tenet, Director of the CIA, had received a memo in August of 2001 (one month before the attacks of 9/11) on this report entitled, “Islamic Extremists Learn to Fly.” Yet, Tenet took no action on this memo. The FBI agents responsible for the now famous “Phoenix Memo” regarding Osama Bin Laden sending students to the U.S. to attend civil aviation schools, also struggled to get their higher ups to act on this information.

To date, no one has been held accountable for the massive defense failures on 9/11, nor for the bizarre suppression of critical warnings prior to 9/11. Furthermore, we still have no explanation for why the head of Pakistani intelligence, who was meeting with top Bush Administration officials in Washington on 9/11/2001, had wired lead hijacker Mohammed Atta $100,000.00 weeks before 9/11.

We still do not know who made the insider stock trades in weeks and days leading up to 9/11, by betting “against” United and American Airlines stocks (the aircraft used as missiles on 9/11).

There are many other profound, and bizarrely “unasked” questions around 9/11. I urge all to read “The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11” by David Ray Griffin, and endorsed by Howard Zinn, and to view “The Great Deception” documentary by acclaimed Canadian television journalist Barry Zwerker. Peace & justice leaders and activists will find these works a great resource for getting current on the 9/11 truth issue, and can be great organizing tools to educate others.

I fear that if the underlying issues of 9/11 truth are not demanded, that Iraq may be but a flame on an ocean of gasoline that may be used to ignite war after war after war. We cannot, as a peace & justice movement only address the flames. We must look at the fuel being used to justify the flames of war and repression at home and abroad. We must look deeply at the events leading up to, on and since 9/11. We must demand full 9/11 truth.

I encourage all local and national peace & justice activists and organizations to join Philadelphia Peace Action and other peace and justice organizations nationwide who are now beginning to work with and becoming involved with the National 911Visibility Project at www.911visibility.org and to list your local efforts contact information there, so 9/11 truth activists across the nation can get involved with you to demand full 9/11 truth.

The National 9/11 Visibility Project has worked with 9/11 family members, and become a media source conducting interviews and linking media with 9/11 family members, including BBC World Wide Radio Network, The O’Reilly Factor, and others. By listing your local contact information for your local 9/11 truth activities at www.septembereleventh.org media visiting the site can find your contact information as well as local activists who want to join your efforts.

Sincerely,
Edward Asner
May 14, 2004

To All Those Working for a Peaceful, Just and Environmentally Sustainable Society:

Whether one views the 9/11 “terrorist” attacks as blow back, a wake-up call, or an unjustified outrage, they have deeply affected the American psyche and our attitudes toward war, the future, and the world. As a historian trying to understand this phenomenon, I tend to view the government’s behavior, before and after 9/11, in the context of its leaders’ past actions.

Before 9/11, Bush’s inner circle of neoconservative advisors proclaimed the need for a dramatic expansion of U.S. military might entailing “full spectrum dominance” over all other nations and regions (including outer space), long term petro-resource control with permanent Middle East bases, and a preemptive First Strike policy against recalcitrant states. In September 2000, however, the neocon’s flagship think tank, the Project for a New America Century, warned that this “process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event - like a new Pearl Harbor.”

After 9/11, this administration systematically nurtured the fear of further homeland attacks to justify its own assaults on constitutional rights as well as social and environmental programs that protected “the people” but fettered corporate power and control.

David Ray Griffin’s book “The New Pearl Harbor – Disturbing Questions About The Bush Administration and 9/11” asks “Were these tragedies simply the result of unprecedented failures and incompetence as the government maintains, or were there elements of foreknowledge and implicit welcome involved?” He attempts to answer this question, reviewing the facts, studying other possible interpretations of these facts, and observing the breakdown of the official story over time.

Griffin’s status as a renowned theologian and his systematic approach to the documented evidence lend this work unique importance and authority. Although still shunned by the mainstream media, his book has already encouraged many thousands to debate the case for possible government complicity and at the very least to demand a full, transparent and truly independent public inquiry.

Democracy requires citizen vigilance, informed debate and official accountability. In that spirit, David Ray Griffin’s book deserves to be widely read.

Howard Zinn
June 7, 2004

Dear Brothers and Sisters,

It has become increasingly clear to me and many people I work with that our government lies constantly and cannot be trusted. Heads nod when one says this, yet when questions are raised about what actually happened on September 11, 2001, there are some people who don’t even want the questions to be asked.

The way I know that there are many holes in the official story is that my 14-year-old daughter did her term paper this year on what actually happened on 9/11-01. She found dozens of facts and pieces of evidence that contradict the official story touted by Washington and the corporate media. My own subsequent research has convinced me that the official story is a big lie.

That does not mean that I know exactly who planned the attacks, how they did it, and why they did it. I do know, however, that the government lies on many smaller, less significant issues, so why wouldn’t they lie about this huge issue?

The only way a thorough investigation will happen is if we, the people, demand it. Whether you trust the official story about 9/11 or not, we must all support the principle of basing our laws and policies on truth, whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

If you believe that real democracy requires open and accountable government, I urge you to assist the 9/11 family members and support groups such as www.911truth.org and www.911visibility.org in their just struggle for the truth.

Getting at the truth regarding 9/11/01 will not bring back the thousands of people who died, but it will at least ensure that they did not die in vain.

Peace,

Kevin Danaher
Cofounder, Global Exchange
The Honourable Paul Hellyer  
Former Minister of National Defense and Deputy Prime Minister of Canada

Interviewed by Kyle Hence of 911CitizensWatch.org (Transcribed by Bill Douglas of National 911Visibility.org), May 27, 2004, International 9/11 Inquiry Toronto, Canada. (Paul Hellyer held the Canadian office equivalent to Secretary of Defense in the US, and was Deputy Prime Minister, Canadian equivalent to US Vice President.)

Kyle Hence: Hello. Thank you for taking time with us. Please introduce yourself, with a little bit of your background, for our viewers.

Former Minister of National Defense, Paul Hellyer: Hi, my name is Paul Hellyer. I’m a former Canadian politician, a member of three administrations. I’m the former Minister of National Defense, and rose to the rank of Deputy Prime Minister in the Trudeau Administration.

Kyle Hence: Where were you when 9/11 (11 September 2001) occurred? Share with us some of your observations about how the world hinged on this event, and some of your concerns about the policies that have been put in place after 9/11.

Paul Hellyer: I was in my office and one of the staff members herd something on radio and I said, well let’s turn on the TV and see what we can find out and we did. And we watched as most people did, the terrible events unfold minute by minute. And you have that feeling of sick horror . . . sort of . . . you know, this has got to be some kind of surrealism . . . can’t be real. But, the longer the day went on the more evidence there was that this was no joke. This was a real thing and that it was just so awful that it was beyond description. And so I was one of the people that just felt . . . well, like most of the world, and certainly most Canadians a deep grief and shared the loss of the people who’d lost friends, loved ones, as a result of the attack. And I did many of the things that people did. I went to a special church service, and signed the book and did the things I thought were appropriate for the circumstances.

Kyle Hence: There were many Canadians who died too.

Former Minister of National Defense, Paul Hellyer: Yes, absolutely. It was not obvious at the time, but this came later when the statistics were made public. So, my attitude never changed about that aspect of things. But, I think what did change over time were the consequences. When President Bush decided to declare war on terrorism. The war on terrorism . . . terrorism is a terrible thing, but this was a police problem and an intelligence problem. What was wrong with your intelligence? Why didn’t you know this was going to happen? You spend billions and billions with spooks all over the world and surely you should have known what was going on. And, so I began to be concerned about that. And then questions were raised by others. Why did the President just sit in the schoolroom when he heard the news? Why did he not acknowledge that he already knew what was going on? As a former Minister of National Defense, the news came out (I had to wonder) . . . why did airplanes fly around for an hour and a half without interceptors being scrambled from Andrews (Air Force Base) . . . is it Andrews right next to the capitol?

Kyle Hence: Yes, that’s correct.

Paul Hellyer: . . . take them with a quick action alert they should have been there in five minutes or ten minutes. If not, as the Minister of National Defense, which in the United States is the Secretary of Defense, I would want to say “why not?”

Kyle Hence: Does it disturb you, or do you have concerns about your neighbors to the south that there’s been no inquiry at a defense level relative to the specific failure to scramble jets?

Paul Hellyer: I think the inquiry has been very shallow and superficial. And I would like to see a much tougher more in depth inquiry. The evidence is that some people were allowed to fly out of the United States after the attack when American citizens were not allowed to fly in. And Canadians had the pleasure, I guess in a sense, of the company of tens of thousands, several tens of thousands of them [Americans] in Canada for a few days, especially in Newfoundland where the people were most gracious in looking after them. But, why were some members of the Bin Laden family allowed to fly out of the United States? And . . . you know . . . just why? Why? Why? There are so many questions. But, you say, what is going wrong here? Or, was there something going wrong? How much did they actually know? And you get into very deep territory because it’s the same kind of thing as we saw with Pearl Harbor. Did the President know, or didn’t he know? I don’t know. But, it’s a very good question.

Kyle Hence: But, there’s some evidence to suggest he may have.

Paul Hellyer: There is some evidence to suggest that he may have and it was a deliberate decision on his part to let the attack happen because he wanted to get the United States into World War II. And otherwise it was going to be difficult to get Congressional approval.

Kyle Hence: So you can understand why perhaps people are asking the same question about President Bush and the Bush Administration.

Paul Hellyer: Absolutely. I think it’s a fair question. I don’t know what the answer is. But, I would like to ask the questions . . . or I would like to see someone in a position of authority ask these questions and insist on getting answers? At least to why some of the things happened that seem to be, for an ordinary person, inexplicable.

Kyle Hence: It seems like there’s a fundamental lack of accountability and transparency, especially now in the wake of 9/11. Does that concern you in regards to what’s happening in America with the Patriot Act, and the projection of American military power?

Paul Hellyer: Oh, very, very much so. I’m very disturbed about a lack of transparency. Everyone talks about it, and no one is willing to come clean, as it were. I just had lunch, interestingly enough with the designer of the Av Aero which was cancelled more than 40 years ago and one of the things we were discussing were the lies that people in government and the Air Force told at the time. And I guess this makes me all the more suspicious. If they lied about that, what else were they lying about? So, we were agreeing that you had to get beyond the superficial and the spin and try and get at the truth. It’s very difficult. It takes a lot of time. But, we have to try and get the truth. Because unless we do, as the good book says, “seek the truth and the truth will set you free.” And I think that’s what we’ve got to try to do and I hope that somebody has the courage and the persistence to keep at it until we can get it.

Kyle Hence: Thank you very much. I’ll be in touch with you.

Former Minister of National Defense, Paul Hellyer: Good.
June 7, 2004

To All Americans,

When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

A spirited and global citizens’ media was birthed by our hunger to learn the truth that lay inside the graves of those who gave their lives on 9/11. This citizens’ media brought forward the questions that people throughout the world raised and wanted answered. These are the UnAnswered Questions of 9/11. These UnAnswered Questions are powerful. The persistence of the citizens’ media in raising them and demanding answers has illuminated the failure of those responsible to explain what happened or why.

These UnAnswered Questions have been supplied to the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States -- by the families of those who died that day, by 9/11 CitizensWatch and many others. To date, the Commission has declined to seriously investigate or address them. Those who have attended Commission hearings anticipate a report that justifies more 9/11 and war profiteering – more otherwise unjustified public budgets and private profits for large banks, defense contractors and other large corporations.

My vote for the most important UnAnswered Question of 9/11 is “Cui Bono?,” or “Who Benefits?” It’s where any serious criminal investigation would begin. That said, why has the Commission made no attempt to look at who benefited from the crimes of 9/11? To understand why, let's step back and look at the issues related to holding government to basic standards of transparency and accountability – and let’s do it in a simple and basic way.

Standards of Transparency and Accountability

If you bought a car and it did not work, what would you do? Let’s say the car dealer told you that to get the car to work, you would have to pay him more money? Would you pay him more money to fix a car that had not worked in the first place? And after you paid to have it fixed, what if it still did not work? Would you pay an increased amount to get it to work then? Of course not.

As a result of 9/11, what did we do? We rewarded failure. Military, intelligence and enforcement appropriations and contracts increased dramatically along with tax benefits, regulatory relief and financing benefits for insiders. The stocks of the defense contractors we pay to run our air and satellite defense and for military, intelligence and enforcement accounting and information systems and operations skyrocketed. Why? Why are government officials, contractors and depositories who fail to deliver value different from a car dealer? Indeed, on fundamental issues of transparency and accountability, there is no difference.

The proper response to 9/11 is significant firings, serious investigations, a reinvention of federal budgets and credit to lawful performance standards and a return of stolen monies.
The Top 20 Areas of 9/11 Profiteering

In a recent article on 9/11 Profiteering, I listed 20 areas of unacceptable, if not criminal, profiteering. Such profiteering is a breach of fiduciary obligations by government officials with the help of the Congress, media, judiciary and the private members of the military-banking cartels that control the US governmental apparatus:

1. Over $3.3 trillion missing and unaccounted for from the US Treasury and no efforts by the US Treasury to find the money or get it back.

2. Failure by the US Department of Justice to enforce securities and money laundering laws regarding the pump and dump of the US stock market and no effort to find money fraudulently laundered and to get it back.

3. Arbitrage in the Federal Credit System designed to generate profits for the benefit of private financial interests in combination with relaxed monetary policies.


5. Military presence and/or occupation in Iraq and Afghanistan and other Eurasian oil and gas interests.

6. Special legislation favoring the insurance industry.

7. Special legislation favoring the airline and other industries.

8. Significant increases in military, intelligence and enforcement powers and appropriations.


10. Fundraising for trusts and endowments purporting to help 9/11 victims.

11. Privatization and taxpayer funded redevelopment and financing of the WTC and New York real estate.

12. Significant increases in airport and building security contracts to private companies, much of it government funded.

13. Suppression of the media-sponsored Florida ballot recount and institution of “black box” voting machines – voting systems that do not have a verifiable paper trail.

14. Adoption of the US Patriot Act to, among other things, consolidate the banking and money laundering market share.


16. Emergence of corporate media as primarily propaganda.

17. Explosion in expenditures for invisible weaponry – including HAARP, ocean, space and satellite weaponry with no citizenry understanding of the potentially frightening impact on human and other planetary quality of life and survival.

18. Significant opportunities for large law firms resulting from the continuing centralization of the economy through the US governmental apparatus.

19. Failure by the US Department of Justice to successful prosecute those responsible for Enron or to identify missing money and get it back.

20. Promotions for numerous military and government officials who had failed to protect the national security during the events of 9/11.

Look at the sources of income and financing of those who served in the Clinton Administration or the first Bush Administration, including Iran-Contra, or who serve in the second Bush Administration. Look at the campaign funding sources of those who sit in Congress. Look at the board memberships and other sources of compensation and investment profits of those who serve on the National Commission. Look at the rising power of the companies who make money from war as opposed to companies that make money from healthy and happy citizens.

Ultimately you must conclude that for those who profit from the centralization of economic and political power, 9/11 was great for business. Indeed from their point of view, 9/11 can be said to be a significant national security success. 9/11 profitering generated what is easily estimated as the highest return on investment to campaign donors in the history of Presidential politics. The Bush war chest for 2004 speaks for itself. The piracy of 9/11 has more than replaced the loss of Enron, the largest donor for the 2000 campaign.

Getting off the Financial Titanic and on to Solari Arks

Chris Sanders, a money manager in London and founder of Sanders Research Associates, is a member of the financial leadership who has spoken out with integrity and courage against US Treasury black budget accounting and manipulation of the gold and financial markets. In a recent report on the global economy, “The Ghost of Adam Smith,” Sanders had this to say about the economics of 9/11:

“The truth of the matter is that ‘globalization’ has brought us to a point where almost any event could initiate a cascade of consequences beyond the control of even the legendary Greenspan and the Exchange Stabilization Fund. 9/11 may well have been that event. Economically, it wrecked the attempts that were underway at the time to investigate and
control the rampant fraud and abuse that had resulted in the collapse of the government’s accounting and financial control systems and it has resulted in a runaway spending spree and balance of payments catastrophe. Politically, it wrecked any serious attempt to negotiate a viable compromise in the Middle East. Militarily it has exposed grave weakness at the heart of the American war machine. Morally, its consequences have revealed the absence of any ethical foundation to the so-called New World Order and the absence of a framework of law to order it.

“About the only thing that doesn’t seem widely exposed is exactly who was responsible for 9/11 itself. But the answer to that question is hiding in plain sight. When a few more people see it, we expect the cascade to begin in earnest. Revolutions have started from much less.”

While the time has indeed come for the revolution that Sanders, Thomas Jefferson and the signers of our Declaration of Independence called for, it will not be a revolution in the military or physical sense. It will be a revolution of the kind that John Adams described when he said, ‘The Revolution was in the minds and hearts of the people.”

Global citizens will use our prayers, our meditations and our transactions -- our “votes in the marketplace”-- to withdraw our support from the members of the leadership who centralize financial and political power by dictating the policies that banks, media and multinational corporations use to manipulate governmental and central banking apparatus outside the rule of law.

Reread the passage from the Declaration of Independence quoted at the top of this commentary and change the word “Political” to “Economic and Financial.” Private global interests have used the US governmental apparatus response to 9/11 to declare economic war and physical war on US and global citizens alike.

Want to stop an army? Shut off its gas. This army’s gas is fraudulent financing through the US Treasury and the federal budget, contracts and credit. It is time for citizens’ media to turn the transparency lights on around the centralization of the financial system and the money. It is time for citizens to withdraw their money from those who would use it in criminal ways and shift it to those who would rebuild and restore according to traditional principles of stewardship.

If you look back in history you will see many successful examples when the power of our prayers and meditations aligned with the power of our pocketbook to transform events. Revisit the story of the Boston Tea Party or Gandhi’s salt march to the sea. Study the international economic and investment boycott of South Africa that helped to end apartheid and release Nelson Mandela from prison and return him to leadership. As citizens’ media has proved since 9/11, the seeds of a new citizen covenant are being birthed amidst the accelerating failure of sovereign governments and central banks to respect and affirm the old covenants. All that is needed is for this movement to transform the political landscape is for us to integrate our growing spiritual and intellectual force with the untapped power of our consumer financial muscle.

Do you have a Citibank account? How about a JP Morgan Chase credit card? Who do you think were the banks that moved the $3.3 trillion “missing” from the US Treasury?

Who escaped serious accountability on pump and dump stock market fraud and gold market manipulation? Do you subscribe to the New York Times or the Wall Street Journal?

Who do you think are the media organizations that have failed to ask or try to answer the UnAnswered Questions of 9/11? Why are you “voting” for these companies with your money and your attention? Why are you sending them the signal that despite their abrogation of their obligations to you and your family, you will continue to support them with your hard earned resources?

If some of your neighbors are earning 2% on their bank certificates of deposits, while other neighbors are paying 18% or more to the IRS or multinational financial companies, why do we not realize that this is both a political and profit making opportunity to cut out a parasitic financial middleman?

For many years, I have prototyped a way to transform our financial system. It is a model based on transparency, sound currency and equity to replace obfuscation, fiat currency and the debt model that is destroying us. It’s called Solari and you can learn all about it at my website at www.solari.com.

I developed the Solari model in the hopes that the day would come when a small but critical gathering of global citizens would understand the power of what would happen if even 1% of 1% of the global citizenry shifted our market votes and attention away from the large banks, corporations and media. In such a highly leveraged financial system, very small shifts of consumers and market share can bring about dramatic and politically powerful change. This is especially true when the shift in transactions spread virally by word of mouth in self organizing ways outside the control of centralized authorities.

There is safety in numbers. Best of all, the power of the Solari model is not just that we can withdraw our resources from the financial titanic and those who engage in criminal activities – we can make money building arks and so can those who shift their investments from the financial titanic to invest with us.
Statement of the Case

On November 26, 2003, Ellen Mariani filed a civil RICO action (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act) lawsuit against President Bush and other high level members of his administration, based upon prior knowledge of 9/11; knowingly failing to act; prevent or warn of 9/11; and the ongoing obstruction of justice by covering up the truth of 9/11; all in violation of the laws of the United States. Ellen seeks judicial redress to restore the U.S. Constitution’s “Separation of Powers” clause so never again will another “9/11” occur on our land.

Background

Seventy-three people have filed lawsuits against the airlines or trade center. Ellen Mariani, of southern New Hampshire, was one of the first. Not only is Mariani suing United Airlines for lax security, she also filed a second lawsuit in federal court against Bush and his administration. Mariani, who is represented by Philip J. Berg, charges that the Bush administration failed to heed repeated warnings from U.S. intelligence and foreign sources that terrorists might use commercial aircraft as weapons.

“Mrs. Mariani’s racketeering suit against Bush is designed to protect and defend the United States Constitution and bring an end to the illegal, international war on terror . . . ”

Philip J. Berg

former Deputy Attorney General of Pennsylvania

(attorney for Ellen Mariani)
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“Our government had knowledge of this and took no steps to prevent it,” Berg said. Mariani said no amount of money from the federal government would persuade her to back off from her legal fight. “I would eat dirt before Feinberg got me into the fund,” Mariani said. “I am a person who wants to know what happened,” said the 65-year-old grandmother, who is living off monthly Social Security checks.

“Money is great, but not when it comes to a loved one being murdered.”
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Dear Fellow Patriot,

I urge each of you to get involved with the International 9/11 Truth movement as requested by Ed Asner in his recent letter to the anti-war community. Mr. Asner has stated in an eloquent, yet low-key way the case for all of us to stand and work together until the truth about this “New Pearl Harbor” is seen and understood by all Americans. We simply cannot ignore the fact that elements of the US government at the highest levels may have committed treason and mass murder by purposely allowing 9/11 to happen. The scores of lies and contradictions that riddle the official story have long been obvious, as have the thousands of lives and profiteered billions their 9/11-justified wars have cost. The 9/11 Commission has proved to be compromised, trivial and feckless, and it is now our time to act. We and the families of those lost have a right to know the truth!

Please take a first step in that direction by reading “The New Pearl Harbor - Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11” by Dr. David Ray Griffin, and then visiting www.911truth.org for the latest news and information on how to get involved. There are many ways to fight for your country, and in 2004 the biggest war for justice, truth and freedom is now right here at home.

Dr. Robert M. Bowman
Lieutenant Colonel, retired USAF;
President, Institute for Space & Security Studies;
Presiding Archbishop, United Catholic Church;
author, “Some Dare Call It Treason”
WASHINGTON - April 28 - Green Party leaders renewed the party’s call for an independent commission, with full participation of surviving family members, to investigate the government’s handling of 9/11 and information leading up to the attacks.

“The members of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks in the U.S. have pervasive conflicts of interests which disqualify them from leading an investigation,” said Jake Schneider, treasurer of the Green Party of the United States. “The White House did all it could to obstruct a thorough probe, and has so far succeeded. President Bush insisted that commission members be limited to representatives of the two major parties, with each party having a veto, and that the commission could not investigate why 9/11 occurred. The commission’s focus was damage control, not discovery of the truth.”

Greens noted that the 9/11 Commission established two major points: (1) the Bush Administration ignored numerous warnings from intelligence and foreign governments; (2) the Bush Administration used 9/11 as a pretext to enact existing plans for a war against Iraq and to curtail civil liberties in America.

But Greens charge that numerous other points went uninvestigated:

– The full extent of FBI and CIA surveillance and intelligence on the hijackers, some of whom (especially Mohammed Atta) had been closely monitored.

– Connections between the U.S., the Bush family, and Saudi Arabian officials; the Saudi ruling family’s funding of terrorists (most of whom were Saudi Arabian); obstruction by the Clinton and Bush administrations of FBI investigations into Saudi ties to terrorism; connections between other U.S. allies and the hijackers, such as Pakistani Intelligence Service.

– The failure of FAA and NORAD to follow standard operating procedures in response to the 9/11 hijackings.

– The extent to which bad U.S. policy in the Middle East motivated (and continues to motivate) extremist groups like al-Qaeda, including mishandling of the Israel-Palestine crisis; attempts by the U.S. to control Middle Eastern oil resources (such as the proposed trans-Afghanistan pipeline); earlier U.S. assistance for the Mujahedeen in Afghanistan, and for tyrants such as Saddam Hussein.

Greens warn that all of these policies -- including the recent invasion and occupation of Iraq -- have fueled Middle Eastern rage against the U.S. and may motivate future attacks.

“The question is whether the Bush Administration and Congress failed to prevent 9/11 out of gross incompetency or because they were looking for an excuse to go to war, seize control of Middle East oil, and implement their plan for a global American empire,” said Mark Dunlea, a member of the Green Party of New York State and the author of a recent novel on 9/11. “The number of unanswered questions and the White House’s secrecy and obstruction surrounding 9/11 demand a real investigation, not the current compromised inquiry. We owe that to the family members and to all Americans.”

(911Visibility.org is proud to work with the U.S. National Green Party for 9/11 truth, and hope to work with all political parties in the U.S. and beyond as they too become involved with the 9/11 truth movement. www.911Truth.org and 911Visibility.org)
Good morning and welcome to all of our speakers and guests. I am honored to be here today among such a courageous group of people of conscience. I feel I am finally with my peers and do not have to explain myself.

I am particularly fond of the name POGO, not only for the great and necessary work this organization is doing but also because I once had a dog named Pogo. He was my loyal companion, and although quite small, warned me of intruders.

In today's forum, we pay homage to revolutionary war hero, Paul Revere. I must confess that since the first time I heard myself referred to as a whistle-blower, I cringed, and I am still uneasy with that term. It sounds demeaning. Demeaning for so noble a cause.

When Paul Revere was given the task of riding to Lexington to warn Sam Adams and John Hancock that the British troops were coming to arrest them, it is said that as he approached the house where they were staying the sentry asked him not to make so much noise, to which he cried “Noise!!? You'll have noise enough before long.”
Dear World Legislators, Parliamentarians, and World Citizens,

We have watched with alarm that the sad and tragic events of 9/11 (11 September, 2001) have resulted in two wars and a global rollback in civil liberties. Iraq had nothing to do with the 9/11 attacks, yet it has been attacked in the name of them. People in countries worldwide have suffered reduced civil liberties in the name of 9/11, and intelligence surveillance of ordinary citizens has expanded dramatically.

I submit to you that before any more wars are launched or liberties are lost in the name of the “war on terror” launched by the Bush Administration after 9/11, a full international inquiry into the events leading up to and on 9/11 be held, which includes 9/11 victims’ family members from the U.S. and other nations.

The National Green Party of the United States has requested an open independent 9/11 investigation that would involve 9/11 victims’ family members. This is a good start, but it must also be an international inquiry in addition that includes 9/11 family members from nations worldwide who lost loved ones in the 9/11 attacks.

We need this to occur because many, including the 9/11 Family Steering Committee (U.S.), are growing increasingly frustrated with the stunning lack of hard questions for witnesses appearing before the 9/11 Commission. Until these hard questions are asked and fully answered, we should refuse more wars, and renounce reduced liberty worldwide in the name of 9/11 and its resultant war on terror.

A few of these questions are:

1) Who made the insider stock trades against United and American Airlines the day before the attacks? And why did the head of AB Brown Trust (an institution once headed by the current Executive Director of the CIA, Buzzy Krongard), where $5 million of those stock "winnings" were made, quietly resign on 11, Sept., 2001, and why is $2.5 million of that winning still unclaimed?

2) Why were there no fighter interceptor jets dispatched on 9/11 until it was too late on 9/11, after 4 commercial jets flew hijacked for an hour and a half, ending with the last one crashing into the world’s most highly protected building, the Pentagon?

3) Why did the CIA Station Chief in Dubai meet with Bin Laden weeks before 9/11 at a U.S. Army Hospital? Why did the Pakistani Intelligence Chief, who was in Washington on 9/11 meeting with top Bush officials, wire lead hijacker, Mohammed Atta $100,000 weeks before the 9/11 attacks?

These are but a few questions needing answers. (Read “The New Pearl Harbor” by David Ray Griffin to further explore the disturbing unanswered questions of 9/11.) I implore legislators, parliamentarians, and citizens worldwide to become involved in the global 9/11 Truth Movement by signing up at www.911Visibility.org and www.911Truth.org.

Warm regards,

Paul Lannoye, European Union Parliamentarian